## Sulfanyl- and Selanyldifluoromethylphosphonates as a Source of Phosphonodifluoromethyl Radicals and Their Addition onto Alkenes<sup>†</sup>

2001 Vol. 3, No. 2 185–188

ORGANIC LETTERS

Thierry Lequeux,<sup>\*,t,§</sup> Fanny Lebouc,<sup>‡</sup> Chrystel Lopin,<sup>||</sup> Hongli Yang,<sup>||</sup> Géraldine Gouhier,<sup>||</sup> and Serge R. Piettre<sup>\*,||</sup>

Laboratoire de Chimie Moléculaire et Thioorganique, UMR-CNRS 6507, Université de Caen - ISMRA, 6 Bd du Maréchal Juin - F 14050 Caen, France, and Laboratoire des Fonctions Azotées et Oxygénées Complexes, UMR-CNRS 6014, Université de Rouen -IRCOF - Rue Tesnière - F - 76821 Mont Saint Aignan, France

serge.piettre@univ-rouen.fr

Received October 17, 2000

ABSTRACT

 $\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R}^{2}X\text{-}\mathbb{C}\mathsf{F}_{2}\text{-}\mathbb{P}(\mathsf{O})(\mathsf{OR}^{1})_{2} & \xrightarrow{} & \mathbb{I} \\ & & & \mathbb{I} \\ & & & \mathbb{R}^{3} & \mathbb{R}^{5} \\ & & & \mathbb{R}^{3} & \mathbb{R}^{5} \end{array} & & \mathbb{H}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{R}^{4}\mathbb{R}^{5}\text{-}\mathbb{H}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{R}^{3}\text{-}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{F}_{2}\text{-}\mathbb{P}(\mathsf{O})(\mathsf{OR}^{1})_{2} \end{array} \right)$ 

Two different strategies are shown to produce sulfanyl and selanyldifluoromethylphosphonates. Thus, treatment of sulfanyldichloromethylphosphonates by 3HF·NEt<sub>3</sub> in the presence of zinc bromide produces the corresponding sulfanyldifluoromethylphosphonates. In addition, lithiation of difluoromethylphosphonates followed by quenching with phenylsulfanyl chloride, phenylselanyl chloride, or diphenyl diselenide yields the corresponding sulfanyl- and selanyldifluorophosphonates. Generation of phosphonodifluoromethyl radicals from such precursors in the presence of alkenes produces the expected adducts.

Over the past few decades, phosphonates have emerged as valuable compounds, possessing various biological properties. Their similarity to phosphates is reinforced by the adjunction of fluorine atoms on the carbon linked to the phosphorus. Difluorophosphonates have thus become regarded as close isosteres to the corresponding phosphates, both in structural and electronic terms.<sup>1</sup> Several analogues of natural or unnatural phosphates, encompassing the dif-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Presented at the 16th International Symposium on Fluorine Chemistry, Durham, U.K., July 16-21, 2000.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> Laboratoire de Chimie Moléculaire et Thioorganique.

<sup>§</sup> E-mail: lequeux@ismra.fr.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Laboratoire des Fonctions Azotées et Oxygénées Complexes.

 <sup>(1) (</sup>a) Blackburn, G. M.; Kent, D. E.; Kohlman, F. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1984, 1119–1125. (b) Blackburn, G. M.; Eckstein, F.; Kent, D.
 E.; Perrée, T. D. Nucleosides Nucleotides 1985, 4, 165–167. (c) Chambers,
 R. D.; O'Hagan, D.; Lamont, R. B.; Jain, S. C. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1990, 1053–1054. (d) Tatcher, G. R. J.; Campbell, A. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 2272–2281. (e) Nieschalk, J.; Batsanov, A. S.; O'Hagan,
 D.; Howard, J. A. K. Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 165–176.

<sup>(2) (</sup>a) Chambers, R. D.; Jaouhari, R.; O'Hagan, J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1988, 1169-1170. (b) Chambers, R. D.; Jaouhari, R.; O'Hagan, J. Tetrahedron 1989, 45, 5101. (c) Hebel, D.; Kirk, K. L.; Kinjo, J.; Kovac's, T.; Lesjak, K.; Balzarini, J.; De Clercq, E.; Torrence, P. F. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1991, 1, 357-360. (d) Howson, W.; Hills, J. M. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1991, 1, 501-502. (e) Halazy, S.; Ehrhard, A.; Danzin, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 315-317. (f) Phillion, D. P.; Cleary, D. G. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 2763-2764. (g) Smyth, M. S.; Ford, H., Jr.; Burke, T. R., Jr. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, *29*, 4137–4140. (h) Matulic-Adamic, J.; Haeberli, P.; Usman, N. J. Org. Chem. **1995**, *60*, 2563–2569. (i) Yokomatsu, T.; Sato, M.; Shibuya, S. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1996, 7, 2743-2754. (j) Rogers, M. J.; Brown, R. J.; Hodkin, V.; Blackburn, G. M.; Russell, R. G. G.; Watts, D. J. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 1996, 224, 863-869. (k) Berkowitz, D. B.; Eggen, M.; Shen, Q.; Shoemaker, R. K. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 4666-4675. (1) Bin, Y.; Burke, T. R., Jr. Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 9963-9970. (m) Stirtan, W. G.; Withers, S. G. Biochemistry 1996, 35, 15057-15064. (n) Arnone, A.; Bravo, P.; Massimo, F.; Viani, F.; Carnela, Z. Synthesis 1998, 1511-1518.

luoromethylenephosphonate moiety, have been shown to possess a better bioactivity than the corresponding nonfluorinated phosphonates.<sup>2</sup> As a result, recent years have witnessed the development of many new synthetic methodologies aiming at facilitating the construction of more complex structures featuring this functionnality. These methods include the reactions between metalated difluoromethylphosphonates (MCF<sub>2</sub>P(O)(OEt)<sub>2</sub>; M = Li, ZnBr, MgCl, CdCl, CeCl<sub>2</sub>) and various electrophiles or the addition reaction of phosphonyl radicals onto difluoroalkenes, for example.<sup>2a,k,3,4</sup> We herein report novel and more practical syntheses of sulfanyl- and as yet unreported selanyldifluoromethylphosphonates and their use as precursors of phosphonodifluoromethyl radicals.

The action of  $3HF\cdot NEt_3$  (6 equiv) on the known methylsulfanyldichloromethylphosphonates 1 and  $2^{5.6}$  triggered no reaction. However, combining  $3HF\cdot NEt_3$  (6 equiv) and zinc bromide (1 equiv) resulted in the formation of the desired difluorophosphonates 3 and 4 in fair yields (Scheme 1).



The corresponding phenylsulfanyl derivative **6** could also be prepared in 52% yield by reacting the lithium salt of diethyl difluoromethylphosphonate (prepared in THF from **5** and 1.5 equiv of freshly prepared LDA) with diphenyl disulfide (1.5 equiv) (Scheme 2).<sup>7</sup> Quenching the lithiated



reagent with either diphenyl diselenide or *sublimed* phenylselanyl chloride produced the analogous phenylselanyl

(4) (a) Piettre, S. R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1996**, *37*, 2233–2236. (b) Herpin, T. F.; Motherwell, W. B.; Roberts, B. P.; Roland, S.; Weibel, J. M. *Tetrahedron* **1997**, *53*, 15082–15100.

186

difluorophosphonate **7** in 83 and 71% isolated yields, respectively.<sup>8</sup>

To the best of our knowledge, only the synthesis of alkylsulfanyldifluoromethylphosphonates has been reported using electrochemical fluorination of sulfides (millimole scale). Under these conditions 4 equiv of  $4HF \cdot FNEt_4$  was used as fluorine source to produce difluorophosphonates in about 50% yields.<sup>9</sup>

We next examined the potential of compounds **3** and **7** as phosphonodifluoromethyl radical precursors and their behavior in radical addition reactions. While the generation and reactivity of nonfluorinated phosphonomethyl radicals from the corresponding sulfanyl- or selanylmethylphosphonates has been well studied, the literature contains no report on the corresponding fluorinated radicals.<sup>5b,10</sup> These species have however been postulated as intermediates in palladium- and cobalt-mediated additions of iodo- and bromodifluoromethylphosphonates to alkenes.<sup>11</sup>

Slow addition of a toluene solution of tri-*n*-butyltin hydride and a catalytic amount of AIBN to a toluene solution of phosphonates **3** or **7** and the alkene at 90 °C resulted in the formation of adducts **10** in fair yields (Scheme 3).<sup>12,13</sup> Results are compiled in Table 1.



Addition reactions onto electron-rich alkenes showed a complete regioselectivity. Unactivated alkenes such as *n*-octene produced the aliphatic difluoromethylphosphonate

<sup>(3) (</sup>a) Burton, D. J.; Shin-ya, S.; Takei, R. J. Fluorine Chem. **1981**, 18, 197–202. (b) Burton, D. J.; Ishihara, T.; Maruta, M. Chem. Lett. **1982**, 755–758. (c) Obayashi, M.; Ito, E.; Matsui, K.; Kondo, K. Tetrahedron Lett. **1982**, 23, 2323–2326. (d) Chambers, R. D.; Jaouhari, R.; O'Hagan, D. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. **1988**, 1169–1170. (e) Burton, D. J.; Sprague, L. G. J. Org. Chem. **1989**, 54, 613–617. (f) Lequeux, T.; Percy, J. M. Synlett **1995**, 361–362. (g) Berkowitz, D. B.; Eggen, M.; Shen, Q.; Shoemarker, R. K. J. Org. Chem. **1996**, 61, 4666–4675. (h) Waschbüsch, R.; Samadi, M.; Savignac, P. J. Organomet. Chem. **1997**, 529, 267–278.

<sup>(5) (</sup>a) Green M. J. Chem. Soc. 1963, 1324–1326. (b) Balczewski, P.;
Pietrzykowski, W. M.; Mikolajczyk, M. Tetrahedron 1995, 51, 7727–7740.
(6) (a) Kim, T. H.; Oh, D. Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 3479–3482.

<sup>(</sup>b) Fild, M.; Vahldiek, M. *Phosphorus Sulfur* **1988**, 40, 207–213.
(7) Attempts to prepare the analogous diethyl phenylsulfanyldichloro-

methylphosphonate from phenylsulfanylmethylphosphonate using *N*-chlorosuccinimide were unsuccessful, giving only intractable mixtures.

<sup>(8)</sup> We found the reaction with phenylselanyl chloride to be reproducible *only* when this reagent had been purified by sublimation, leaving an unidentified, dark-brown solid residue. Yields were erratic when commercially available PhSeCl was used as such.

<sup>(9) (</sup>a) Hou, Y.; Higashiya, S.; Fuchigami, T. J. Org. Chem. **1997**, 62, 8579–8581. (b) Fuchigami, T.; Shimojo, M.; Konno, A. J. Org. Chem. **1995**, 60, 34549-3464.

<sup>(10) (</sup>a) Balczewski, P.; Mikolajczyk, M. Synthesis 1995, 392–396. (b) Balczewski, P. Phosphorus Silicon Sulfur 1995, 104, 113–121. (c) Balczewski, P. Tetrahedron 1997, 53, 2199–2212. (d) Balczewski, P.; Pietrzykowski, W. M. Tetrahedron 1997, 53, 7291–7304.

<sup>(11) (</sup>a) Yang, Z. Y.; Burton, D. J. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 4676–4681.
(b) Hu, C. M.; Chen, J. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1993, 327–330. (c) Li, A. R.; Chen, Q. Y. Synthesis 1996, 606–608.

<b>Table 1.</b> Addition of Phosphonoumuoromethyl Radical <b>o</b> onto Alker	Table 1.	Addition of	f Phos	phonodifluo	romethyl	Radical 8	3 onto	Alkenes
---	----------	-------------	--------	-------------	----------	-----------	--------	---------

entry	radical precursor <sup>a</sup>	alkene (9)	product $(10)^b$		yield (%) <sup>c</sup>
1	3	n-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>13</sub> CH=CH <sub>2</sub>	n-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>13</sub> CF <sub>2</sub> P(O)(Oi-Pr) <sub>2</sub>	(10a)	56
2	3	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub> OCH=CH <sub>2</sub>	EtOCF2P(O)(Oi-Pr)2	(10b)	43
3	3	n-C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>9</sub> OCH=CH <sub>2</sub>	n-BuOCF2P(O)(Oi-Pr)2	(10c)	54
4	7	n-C4H9OCH=CH2	n-BuOCF2P(O)(OEt)2	(10d)	46
5	7	EtOOC	CF2P(O)(OEt)2	(10e)	33 <sup>d</sup>
6	3		CH2-CF2P(O)(Oi-Pr)2	(10f)	55
7	7		CH2-CF2P(O)(OEt)2	(10g)	75
8	3	$\bigcirc =$	CH2-CF2P(O)(OFPr)2	(10h)	59
9	3	$\searrow$	CH2-CF2P(O)(Oi-Pr)2	(10i)	45
10	3	$\bigcirc$	CF2P(O)(Oi-Pr)2	(10j)	22

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>*a*</sup> A 1:1.4:10:0.5 ratio of radical precursor (**3** or **7**)/*n*-Bu<sub>3</sub>SnH/alkene/AIBN. <sup>*b*</sup> All new compounds presented satisfactory analytical data. <sup>*c*</sup> Unoptimized, isolated yields. <sup>*d*</sup> Along with oligomeric material (mass spectrometry).

(entry 1). Ethyl vinyl ether (entry 2) showed a lower conversion rate (75% by <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectrometry) than *n*-butyl vinyl ether; this might be due to the lower concentration induced by its boiling point (34 °C). With less volatile ethers such as *n*-butyl vinyl ether (entries 3 and 4), no starting sulfide or selenide could be detected by <sup>19</sup>F NMR analysis of the crude mixture. The use of 10 equiv of alkene was however necessary to observe a complete consumption of **3** or **7**. In no case was the formation of dimeric phosphonate  $(R^1O)_2(O)PCF_2-CF_2P(O)(OR^1)_2$  observed, and the amount of reduction product **5**, under these conditions, did not exceed

20%. It is to be noted that **3** and **7** behaved similarly as radical precursors (entries 3 and 4). Ethyl acrylate led to the isolation of the expected adduct along with oligomeric material. Methylene cycloalkanes smoothly added radical **8** to deliver adducts **10f** to **10i** and, not unexpectedly, cyclopentene (a 1,2-disubstituted alkene) gave adduct **10j** in lower yield. In this connection, it is interesting that the use of 2-methylenetetrahydrofuran **11** yielded a 65/35 mixture of diastereomers), the result of sequential starting alkene isomerization and addition of radical **8** onto the thereby formed 2-methyl-3,4-dihydrofuran (Scheme 4).



This last result led us to consider 2,3-dihydrofuran as a possible radical acceptor; using the standard procedure, 3-(O,O-diisopropylphosphonodifluoromethyl)tetrahydrofuran **15** was isolated in 47% yield (Scheme 5). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first oxacyclopentane bearing a difluorophosphonate moiety in position 3. This result has a

<sup>(12)</sup> Typical procedure: To a degassed, stirring solution of diethyl phenylselanyldifluoromethylphosphonate 7 (0.205 g, 0.598 mmol) and n-butyl vinyl ether (0.77 mL, 5.98 mmol) in anhydrous toluene (4.7 mL) at 90 °C were added a solution of tri-n-butyltin hydride (0.221 mL, 0.822 mmol) and azobisisobutyronitrile (0.049 g, 0.299 mmol) in dry, degassed toluene (3.14 mL) over a period of time of 7 h. After completion of the addition, the resultant solution was heated for another 2 h, cooled to room temperature, and evaporated under reduced pressure. Chromatography of the residue on silica and elution with a 3:2 mixture of heptane/ethyl acetate led to the isolation of adduct **10d** as a colorless oil (70 mg, 46%).<sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(\text{CDCl}_3, 200 \text{ MHz}) \delta 4.29 - 4.15 \text{ (m, 4H, OCH}_2\text{CH}_3), 3.63 \text{ (t, }^3J_{\text{H-H}} = 7.30 \text{ (cDCl}_3, 200 \text{ MHz}) \delta 4.29 - 4.15 \text{ (m, 4H, OCH}_2\text{CH}_3), 3.63 \text{ (t, }^3J_{\text{H-H}} = 7.30 \text{ (cDCl}_3, 200 \text{ MHz}) \delta 4.29 - 4.15 \text{ (m, 4H, OCH}_2\text{CH}_3), 3.63 \text{ (t, }^3J_{\text{H-H}} = 7.30 \text{ (cDCl}_3, 200 \text{ MHz}) \delta 4.29 - 4.15 \text{ (m, 4H, OCH}_2\text{CH}_3), 3.63 \text{ (t, }^3J_{\text{H-H}} = 7.30 \text{ (cDCl}_3, 200 \text{ MHz}) \delta 4.29 - 4.15 \text{ (m, 4H, OCH}_2\text{CH}_3), 3.63 \text{ (t, }^3J_{\text{H-H}} = 7.30 \text{ (cDCl}_3, 200 \text{ MHz}) \delta 4.29 - 4.15 \text{ (m, 4H, OCH}_2\text{CH}_3), 3.63 \text{ (t, }^3J_{\text{H-H}} = 7.30 \text{ (cDCl}_3, 200 \text{ MHz}) \delta 4.29 - 4.15 \text{ (m, 4H, OCH}_2\text{CH}_3), 3.63 \text{ (t, }^3J_{\text{H-H}} = 7.30 \text{ (cDCl}_3, 200 \text{ MHz}) \delta 4.29 - 4.15 \text{ (m, 4H, OCH}_2\text{CH}_3), 3.63 \text{ (t, }^3J_{\text{H-H}} = 7.30 \text{ (cDCl}_3, 200 \text{ MHz}) \delta 4.29 - 4.15 \text{ (m, 4H, OCH}_2\text{CH}_3), 3.63 \text{ (t, }^3J_{\text{H-H}} = 7.30 \text{ (cDCl}_3, 200 \text{ MHz}) \delta 4.29 - 4.15 \text{ (m, 4H, OCH}_2\text{CH}_3), 3.63 \text{ (t, }^3J_{\text{H-H}} = 7.30 \text{ (cDCl}_3, 200 \text{ (t, }^3J_{\text{H-H}} = 7.30 \text{ (t, }^3J_{\text{H-H}}$ Hz, 2H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>2</sub>), 3.38 (t,  ${}^{3}$ <sub>H-H</sub> = 6.56 Hz, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CA<sub>2</sub>O), 2.48–2.15 (m, 2H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>2</sub>), 1.60–1.15 (m, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O), 1.32 (t,  ${}^{3}J_{H-H} = 6.94$  Hz, 6H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.85 (t,  ${}^{3}J_{H-H} = 6.94$  Hz, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>). <sup>31</sup>P NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 81 MHz, ref H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>)  $\delta$  7.07 (t, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>P-F</sub> = 107.41 Hz, 1P, CF<sub>2</sub>P). <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 188 MHz, ref CFCl<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  –111.54  $(dt, {}^{2}J_{F-P} = 108.34 \text{ Hz}, {}^{3}J_{F-H} = 20.32 \text{ Hz}, 2F, CF_{2}P). {}^{13}C \text{ NMR} (CDCl_{3}, CDCl_{3}, CDCl_{$ 75 MHz)  $\delta$  120.39 (dt,  ${}^{1}J_{C-P} = 257.73$  Hz,  ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 215.8$  Hz, 1C,  $CF_{2}P$ ), 71.36 (s, 1C, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O), 64.87 (d,  ${}^{2}J_{C-P} = 6.75$  Hz, 2C, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 63.55 (m, 1C, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>2</sub>), 34.78 (dt,  ${}^{2}J_{C-P} = 14.25$  Hz,  ${}^{2}J_{C-F} = 20.18$  Hz, 1C, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>2</sub>), 32.08 (s, 1C, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O), 19.93 (s, 1C, CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O), 16.77 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{C-P} = 5.47$  Hz, 2C, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 14.27 (s, 1C, CH<sub>3</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>). FTIR (film) v (cm<sup>-1</sup>) 2960, 2874, 1272, 1120, 1024, 796. MS (EI) m/z (rel int) 288 (M<sup>+</sup>, 0.51), 231 (M<sup>+</sup> – Bu, 100), 203 (19), 187 (15), 175 (29), 159 (46), 138 (35), 109 (18), 93 (20), 41 (46). Exact mass (CI (isobutane), 200 eV) m/z calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>4</sub>PF<sub>2</sub> 289.1380. Found 289.1389.

<sup>(13)</sup> We found that the use of 0.5 equiv of AIBN yields the best results at this temperature; conducting the reaction at 80 or 100 °C with the same amount of radical initiator does not affect the conversion rates and yields.



direct implication in the production of modified oligonucleotides and in antisense strategy.

These preliminary results indicate that generation of phosphonodifluoromethyl radicals from precursors such as 3 or 7 and their addition reactions onto alkenes represents a viable approach for the introduction of such a functional

group into potentially bioactive molecules. Second-generation precursors are currently under investigation.

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to Dr. Arnaud Gautier for useful discussions. This work is part of the RINCOF program, and we thank the Conseil Régional de Basse-Normandie and the Conseil Régional de Haute-Normandie for their financial support.

**Supporting Information Available:** Experimental procedure and complete characterization for compounds **10a**–**10j** and **15**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

OL006746J